ILI: 0.02

### **Certified Validation Report**

Audit Information:

Water Supplier Name: City of Chino Hills

PWS ID: 3610036

System Type: Potable

Utility Representation: Cheryl Yeamans, Jacob Loukeh

Audit Period: Fiscal Year 2018-2019

Validation Date: 9/19/19

Sufficient Supporting Documents Provided: Yes

## Validation Findings & Confirmation Statement:

#### **Key Audit Metrics:**

Data Validity Score: 76 Data Validity Band (Level): Level IV (71-90)

Real Loss: 0.41 (gal/conn/day)

Apparent Loss: 2 (gal/conn/day)

Non-revenue water as percent of cost of operating system: 0.8%

### Certification Statement by Validator:

California Water Code Section 10608.34. This water loss audit report has been Level 1 validated per the requirements of California Code of Regulations Title 23, Division 2, Chapter 7 and the

All recommendations on volume derivation and Data Validity Grades were incorporated into the water audit. oximes

If not, rejected recommendations are included here.

#### Validator Information:

Water Audit Validator: Mark Wiley

Qualifications: Certified AWWA Water Loss Validator

### Certified Validation Report

Water Supplier Name: City of Chino Hills

Water Supplier ID Number: 3610036

Water Audit Period: Fiscal Year 2017-2018

## Water Audit & Water Loss Improvement Steps:

Utility to provide steps taken in preceding year to increase data validity, reduce real loss and apparent loss as informed by the annual validated

due to the new MCL for TCP. The City is now 100% reliant on purchased water from the State, and two neighboring water agencies. contractor to replace corroding saddles in this audit and in next year's audit. For the second audit in a row, City owned wells were taken off-line during the audit period. Water meters have been installed on all vehicles that use City system water (water trucks, vactors, etc.). The City hired a audit period. Over-age meter replacement is continuing on a 15 year cycle for all size potable meters, with approximately 1,400 meters exchanged identified a leaking potable storage reservoir and had it repaired in this audit period, two more tanks are scheduled for rehabilitation in the next The City created a GIS position and hired an employee to address inaccuracies and update mapping and technical pipeline data in 2017. City staff

## Certification Statement by Utility Executive:

Section 10608.34 and has been prepared in accordance with the method adopted by the American Water Works Association, as contained in their manual, Water Audit and Loss Control Programs, Manual M36, Fourth Edition and in the Free Water Audit Software version 5. This water loss audit report meets the requirements of California Code of Regulations Title 23, Division 2, Chapter 7 and the California Water Code

**Executive Name (Print)** 

Daniel Bobadilla, P.E.

**Executive Position** 

Signature

Director of Public Works and Engineering

Date

6	U	4	ω	ν	H	#
WE Master Meter & Supply Error Adjustment	Water Exported	WI Master Meter & Supply Error Adjustment	Water Imported	VOS Master Meter & Supply Error Adjustment	Volume from Own Sources	AWWA Water Audit Input
WE MMSEA	WE	WI MMSEA	≦	VOS MMSEA	VOS	Code
N/A	N/A	9	9	N/A	N/A	Final DVG
		Input derivation: 9 Comments: No additional comments.	Import meter profile: Water is imported from three agencies: WFA, CDA and MVWD. MVWD and WFA flows through two common meters maintained by MVWD.  WI input derived from: Totalization of volumes per daily meter reads from importer and exporter.  Comments: Volumetric and calibration data supplied.	Input derivation: N/A Net Storage change included in MMSEA input: No Comments: No additional comments	Supply meter profile: Wells Inactivated VOS input derived from: Manual reads from production meters as archived.  Comments: No meter test documentation, due to wells off-line. Recycled Water not included confirmed.	Basis on Input Derivation
		Imported meter read frequency: Daily Imported meter read method: Manual and automatic data logging. Frequency of data review for trends and anomalies: Monthly Comments: No additional comments	Percent of import supply metered: 100% Signal calibration frequency: Annually for CDA Volumetric testing frequency: Annually for CDA Volumetric testing method: Pitot Percent of import supply testes and/or calibrated: 100% Comments: No additional comments.	Supply meter read frequency: N/A Supply meter read method: Manual and automatic logging. Frequency of data review for trends & anomalies: Monthly Storage levels monitored in real-time: Yes Comments: No additional comments	Percent of own supply metered: 100% Signal calibration frequency: N/A Volumetric testing frequency: Annual, N/A Volumetric testing method: N/A Percent of own supply tested and/or calibrated: N/A Comments: Wells off-line due to contamination, did not have tested during audit period.	Basis on Validity Grade

14	13	12	11	10	9	00	7
Length of Mains	Systematic Data Handling Errors	Customer Metering Inaccuracies	Unauthorized Consumption	Unbilled Unmetered	Unbilled Metered	Billed Unmetered	Billed Metered
M	SDHA	CMI	UC	UUAC	UMAC	BUAC	вмас
ω	5	ω	5	ω	9	N/A	7
Input derivation: Total from GIS based Map. Hydrant leads included: Not Included. Comments: No additional Comments.	Comments: Default input applied.	Input derivation: Rudimentary estimate Comments: See BMAC regarding meter testing & replacement activities.	Comments: Default input applied.	Profile: Includes Fire Department usage.  Comments: Custom California default of .25% used.	Profile: Includes street sweepers, vactor and water trucks, flushing. Input derivation: Direct from monthly meter reads. Comments: Input derivation from supporting documents confirmed.		Customer meter profile: Master Meter Manufacturer Age profile: Meters range in age up to 15 years Reading System: AMR Read frequency: Monthly Comments: Lag- time correction is not determined in input derivation. Input derivation from supporting documents confirmed. Exclusion of non-potable volumes confirmed.
Mapping format: Digital Asset management database: In place but separate from GIS. Map updates & field validation: Field validation regularly takes place.	Comments: Default grade applied.	Characterization of meter testing: Limited (upon request and consumption flag only). Characterization of meter replacement: Customer meters are replaced every 15 years. Comments: No additional comments.	Comments: Default grade applied.	Comments: Default grade applied.	Policy for billing exemptions: Own facilities plus other exemptions including street sweepers, vactors and water trucks.  Comments: No additional comments.		Percent of customers metered: 100%  Small meter testing policy: Reactive – complaint based or flagged consumption testing only.  Number of small meters tested/year: Not quantified but known to be small.  Large meter testing policy: Reactive – complaint based or flagged consumption testing only.  Number of large meters tested/year: Not quantified but known to be small.  Meter replacement policy: Customer meters are replaced every 15 years.  Number of replacements/year: Entire meter stock was replaced in 2004-05, replacement is cycling back.  Billing data auditing: Standard billing QC, plus review of volumes by use type each billing cycle.  Comments: No additional comments

comments: No additional comments	Comments: No additional comments				
	Primary costs included: Imported & treatment costs.	7	VPC	Variable Production	20
Characterization of calculation: Input calculations have	Supply profile: Own sources and import supply.				
Comments: No additional comments.					
by the total volume of potable water delivered in CCF	water budget rate structure.	(		Unit Cost	
derived by dividing the total volume-based revenues	Comments: Customers are billed on a modified	9	CRUC	Customer Retail	19
Characterization of calculation: This number was	Input derivation: All rate classes included.				
Comments: No additional comments	water service and CIP included.			c	
Frequency of third-party CPA auditing: Annually	Comments: Confirmed costs limited to water only,	9	TAOC	Operating Cost	X
Frequency of internal auditing: Annually	Input derivation: From official financial reports			Total Annual	
Comments: No additional comments.					
Hydraulic model: None currently in place					
Real-time monitoring limited to reservoir levels.	Comments: No additional comments.				
Characterization of real-time pressure data collection:	Input derivation: Rudimentary Estimate	ω	AOP	Pressure	1/
residents and Fire Department personnel	Typical pressure range: 45 -160 PSI			Average Operating	l
test and pressures are collected at the request of	pressure zones with 53 PRV's				
Extent of static pressure data collection: Hydrant flow	Number of zones, general profile: Operate four				
		5	ĘP	Average Length of Cust. Service Line	16
Comments: Default grade applied.	Comments: Default input applied.				
Comments: No additional comments	Comments: Inactive connections are included.				
Estimated error of total count within: Less than 1%	based.				
reads occur monthly.	Basis for database query: Account ID, Non- premise	9	SN	Connections	15
normal meter reading process. Approximately 100 re-	system.			Number of Service	
CIS updates & field validation: Accomplished through	Input derivation: Standard report run from billing				
to address deficiencies this audit period					
Comments: The City nired a dedicated GIS start person					_

#### **Key Audit Metrics**

~ VALIDITY Data Validity Score: 76 Data Validity Band (Level): Level IV (71-90)

(#) VOLUME Real Loss: 0.41 (gal/conn/day) Apparent Loss: 2 (gal/conn/day)

(\$) VALUE Annual Cost of Real Losses: \$11,592 Annual Cost of Apparent Losses: \$56,183

# Infrastructure & Water Loss Management Practices:

are wrapped in plastic. Infrastructure replacement policy (current, historic): Due to corrosive soils, infrastructure is replaced with PVC, any buried metallic appurtenances Infrastructure age profile: Average infrastructure age generally falls around 30 years, and a small amount of infrastructure is 50+ years in age

Estimated main failures/year: 19 Estimated service failures/year: 41

potable reservoir was identified and repaired. Two more have been identified for rehabilitation. Extent of proactive leakage management: The City is proactively replacing mild steel saddles with bronze saddles in problematic areas. A leaking

Other water loss management comments: Soils are highly corrosive and severely impact infrastructure

# **Comments on Audit Metrics & Validity Improvements**

characteristics. This number is unrealistic and should be higher. The City should consider having the Monte Vista Water District calibrate and test their import meters, it seems apparent that they are under registering The infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI) of .02 describes a system that experiences leakage at .02 times the modeled technical minimum for its system

evaluating interventions for water and revenue loss recovery. Opportunities to improve the reliability of audit inputs and outputs include: The Data Validity Score falling within Band IV (71-90) suggests that next steps may be focused simultaneously on improving data reliability and

- a procedure to review this data on a monthly basis to detect gross anomalies and data gaps. tank/storage volume changes and import/export flows in order to determine the composite "Water Supplied" volume for the distribution system. Set tank level data in automatic calculation routine in a computerized system. Construct a computerized listing or spreadsheet to archive input volumes, Install automatic datalogging equipment on production meters. Complete installation of level instrumentation at all tanks/storage facilities and include
- outside of +/-3% accuracy. Investigate new meter technology; pilot one or more replacements with innovative meters in attempt to improve meter Conduct meter accuracy testing for all meters on a semi-annual basis, along with calibration of all related instrumentation. Repair or replace meters
- 0 for a regular review and updating of the contractual language in the written agreement between the selling and the purchasing Utility; at least every of all meter accuracy tests and data corrections should be available for sharing between the Exporter and the purchasing Utility. Establish a schedule Conduct accountability checks to confirm that all Imported supply metered data is reviewed and corrected each business day by the Exporter. Results
- 0 Purchase and install meters on unmetered accounts. If customer meter reading success rate is less than 97%, assess cost-effectiveness of Automatic Meter Reading (AMR) or Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) system for portion or entire system; or otherwise achieve ongoing improvements in

- results. Implement annual auditing of detailed billing records by utility personnel and implement third party auditing at least once every five years. manual meter reading success rate to 97% or higher. Refine meter accuracy testing program. Set meter replacement goals based upon accuracy test
- Ensure that meter management (meter accuracy testing, meter replacement) and meter reading activities for unbilled accounts are accorded the same water audit process. priority as billed accounts. Establish ongoing annual auditing process to ensure that water consumption is reliably collected and provided to the annual
- need and/or volume requirements for water from fire hydrants). Utilize accepted default value of 1.25% of the volume of water supplied as an expedient means to gain a reasonable quantification of this use. Evaluate the documentation of events that have been observed. Meet with user groups (ex: for fire hydrants - fire departments, contractors to ascertain their
- Expand meter accuracy testing to a larger group of meters.
- the value of consumption volumes. Procedurize internal annual audit process opportunity for missed billings. Upgrade or replace customer billing system for needed functionality - ensure that billing adjustments don't corrupt Refine new account activation and billing operations procedures and ensure consistency with the utility policy regarding billing, and minimize
- and documenting new water main installation. Complete inventory of paper records of water main installations for several years prior to audit year. Review policy and procedures for commissioning
- connections encounters several levels of checks and balances. Close any procedural loopholes that allow installations to go undocumented. Link computerized information management system with Geographic Information System (GIS) and formalize field inspection and information system auditing processes. Documentation of new or decommissioned service
- valves, altitude valves, partially open boundary valves) and plan to properly configure pressure zones. Make all pressure data from these efforts complaints, or operational testing. Gather pump pressure and flow data at different flow regimes. Identify faulty pressure controls (pressure reducing Formalize a procedure to use pressure gauging/datalogging equipment to gather pressure data during various system events such as low pressure available to generate system-wide average pressure.